

MARTIN D. SINGER (SBN 78166)
MICHAEL E. WEINSTEN (SBN 155680)
DANIEL R. GUTENPLAN (SBN 260412)
LAVELY & SINGER
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
2049 Century Park East, Suite 2400
Los Angeles, California 90067-2906
Telephone: (310) 556-3501
Facsimile: (310) 556-3615
E-mail: mdsinger@lavelysinger.com
mweinsten@lavelysinger.com
dgutenplan@lavelysinger.com

B Attorneys for Defendant
JOHN TRAVOLTA

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 FABIAN ZANZI, an individual, } CASE NO. CV 12-5393 SVW-AJW
14 Plaintiff, } HON. STEPHEN V. WILSON
15 vs. }
16 JOHN TRAVOLTA, an individual, } DEFENDANT JOHN TRAVOLTA'S
17 Defendant. } ANSWER TO COMPLAINT;
18 } DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
Complaint Filed: June 21, 2012

Defendant John Travolta ("Defendant") hereby answers Plaintiff Fabian Zanzi's ("Plaintiff") Complaint as follows:

RESPONSE TO JURISDICTION AND VENUE

24 1. To the extent Paragraph 1 contains Plaintiff's legal conclusions, no
25 response is necessary. However, to the extent that an answer is required, Defendant
26 denies the allegations of Paragraph 1.

27 2. To the extent Paragraph 2 contains Plaintiff's legal conclusions, no
28 response is necessary. However, to the extent that an answer is required, Defendant

1 denies the allegations of Paragraph 2.

2 **RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS**

3 **COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

4 1. To the extent Paragraph 1 contains Plaintiff's legal conclusions, no
5 response is necessary. However, to the extent that an answer is required, Defendant
6 denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 1.

7 2. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
8 as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 2, and on that basis denies the
9 allegations.

10 3. Defendant admits that in or about June 2009, he was a passenger on the
11 cruise ship MS Enchantment of the Seas. Defendant lacks knowledge or
12 information sufficient to form beliefs as to the remaining allegations of Paragraph
13 3, and on that basis denies the allegations.

14 4. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
15 as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 4, and on that basis denies the
16 allegations.

17 5. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
18 as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 5, and on that basis denies the
19 allegations.

20 6. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
21 as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 6, and on that basis denies the
22 allegations.

23 7. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 7.

24 8. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 8.

25 9. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 9.

26 10. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 10.

27 11. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 11.

28 12. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 12.

- 1 13. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 13.
- 2 14. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 14.
- 3 15. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 15.
- 4 16. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 16.
- 5 17. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 17.
- 6 18. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 18.
- 7 19. Defendant admits that Plaintiff spoke with Lorena Alvarez and Michael
- 8 Rasmussen and that Zanzi provided a written statement which contradicts his
- 9 pleading. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 19.
- 10 20. Defendant admits that Zanzi provided a written statement which
- 11 contradicts his pleading. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph
- 12 20.
- 13 21. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 21.
- 14 22. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 22.
- 15 23. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 23.
- 16 24. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 24.
- 17 25. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
- 18 as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 25, and on that basis denies the
- 19 allegations.

RESPONSE TO FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(ASSAULT AND BATTERY)

- 22 26. Defendant restates, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference its
- 23 responses contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 24 27. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 27.
- 25 28. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 28.
- 26 29. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 29.
- 27 30. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 30.
- 28 31. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 31.

32. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 32.
33. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 33.
34. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 34
35. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 35.
36. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 36.

RESPONSE TO SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(INTENTIONAL INFILCTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS)

8 37. Defendant restates, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference its
9 responses contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

10 38. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 38.
11 39. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 39.
12 40. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 40.
13 41. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 41.
14 42. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 42.
15 43. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 43.
16 44. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 44.

RESPONSE TO THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(NEGLIGENT INFILCTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS)

19 45. Defendant restates, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference its
20 responses contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

21 46. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 46.

22 47. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 47.

23 48. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 48.

24 49. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 49.

25 50. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 50.

RESPONSE TO RELIEF REQUESTED

27 51. Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief sought in
28 the Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Without assuming the burden of proof on any matters that would otherwise rest with Plaintiff, and expressly denying any and all wrongdoing, Defendant alleges the following affirmative defenses:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

1. Plaintiff's Complaint and each purported claim in the Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause or causes of action against Defendant upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver)

12 || 2. Plaintiff's causes of action are barred by the doctrine of waiver.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

15 ||| 3. Plaintiff's causes of action are barred by the doctrine of estoppel.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands)

18 4. Plaintiff's Complaint is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands in that
19 Plaintiff's own actions have caused the alleged harm incurred, if any.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate)

22 5. If Plaintiff has suffered any injury or harm, which Defendant expressly
23 denies, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's recovery is barred by his failure to
24 mitigate, reduce or otherwise avoid his damages or injuries.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Standing)

27 6. Plaintiff is not entitled to relief under the statutes and legal theories
28 invoked in the Complaint because Plaintiff lacks standing.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Proximate Cause)

7. Plaintiff's causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, because no act or omission by Defendant, or by any person or entity for which Defendant was responsible, was the proximate cause of any injury or harm alleged by Plaintiff.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unjust Enrichment)

8. Any award to Plaintiff in this action would constitute unjust enrichment.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

11 9. Plaintiff's causes of action are barred by the applicable statutes of
12 limitations.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

15 10. Plaintiff has been guilty of unreasonable and inequitable delay, after
16 having acquired knowledge of the alleged facts upon which he bases his claims for
17 relief, in pursuing said claims, and accordingly, his Complaint is barred by the
18 doctrine of laches.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Express Consent)

21 11. Plaintiff is barred, in whole or in part, from maintaining his alleged
22 causes of action under the doctrine of express consent.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Punitive Damages)

25 12. Plaintiff fails to state facts sufficient to support an award of punitive
26 damages against Defendant.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Lack of Jurisdiction)

13. Plaintiff's causes of action do not arise under general Admiralty Law and, as such, this Court lacks jurisdiction.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Right to Assert Additional Defenses)

7 14. Defendant presently has insufficient knowledge or information on which
8 to form beliefs as to whether there are additional, as yet unstated affirmatives
9 defenses. Thus, to the extent the Court may have jurisdiction herein, and subject
10 to discovery in this action, Defendant expressly reserves his right to assert additional
11 affirmative defenses.

12 WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for relief as follows:

13 1. That the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice in its entirety;

14 2. That Plaintiff take nothing by this action and that judgment be entered

15 against Plaintiff in favor of Defendant;

16 3. That Defendant be awarded all its costs and any recoverable attorney's

17 fees incurred in defending this action; and

18 4. That Defendant be granted such other and further relief as this Court

19 may deem just and proper.

21 | DATED: August 3, 2012

LAVELY & SINGER
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
MARTIN D. SINGER
MICHAEL E. WEINSTEN
DANIEL R. GUTENPLAN

By: /s/Martin D. Singer

MARTIN D. SINGER
Attorneys for Defendant
JOHN TRAVOLTA

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendant John Travolta hereby reserves his right to a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED: August 3, 2012

LAVELY & SINGER
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
MARTIN D. SINGER
MICHAEL E. WEINSTEN
DANIEL R. GUTENPLAN

By: /s/Martin D. Singer
MARTIN D. SINGER
Attorneys for Defendant
JOHN TRAVOLTA